# In the Drawings

Replace the originally filed sheet 1 presenting Fig. 1 with the replacement sheet 1 presenting new Fig. 1.

#### **REMARKS**

By the present Amendment, claims 1-10 are cancelled and claims 11-28 are added. This leaves claims 11-28 pending in the application, with claims 11, 20, 25 and 28 being independent.

### **Drawings**

The drawings are objected to under 37 C.F.R. §1.183(a) for failing to illustrate a seat component. By the present Amendment a new Fig. 1 is presented graphically illustrating a seat component 11.

Thus, the drawing now complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §1.183(a).

## Substitute Specification

The specification is revised to avoid the objections raised in the Office Action and to eliminate grammatical and idiomatic errors in the originally presented specification. The number and nature of the changes made in the specification would render it difficult to consider the case and to arrange the papers for printing or copying. Thus, the substitute specification will facilitate processing of the application. The substitute specification includes no "new matter". Pursuant to M.P.E.P. § 608.01(q), voluntarily filed, substitute specifications under these circumstances should normally be accepted. A marked-up copy of the original specification is appended hereto.

## Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

Original claims 1-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. By the present Amendment, the originally filed claims have been rewritten to avoid the language alleged to be indefinite in the Office Action. All language of the presently pending claims is now believed to be clear and definite.

Thus, the pending claims are definite and comply with 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Claims Indicated as Being Allowable

New independent claims 11, 20, 25 and 28 comprise combinations of original claims 1, 4

and 5, claims 1 and 7, claims 1 and 8 and claims 1 and 9, respectively, to include all of the

limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and to overcome the rejections under 35

U.S.C. §112. Since claims 5-10 rewritten in this manner are indicated as being allowable, the

new independent claims and the claims dependent thereon should be allowable. In these

circumstances, the record will not be burdened with a comparison of the claimed subject matter

with the cited patent documents.

In view of the foregoing, claims 11-28 are allowable. Prompt and favorable action is

solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Reg. No. 28,770

Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, LLP 1300 19th Street, NW, Suite 600

Washington, DC 20036

(202) 659-9076

Dated: April 7, 2008

-9-